Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ		Approved for use	PTO/SB/33 (07/05) e through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00x
2007 Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to	U.S. Patent and a collection of in	nformation unless it	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REV	VIEW Docket Numbe		(Optional)
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR RE			1.0002.U1(US)
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the	Application Number		Filed
United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR	10/769,378		01/30/2004
	First Named Inventor		
on	Jeanet Harvej		
Signature Julyan Jarpetta			
	Art Unit		Examiner
Typed or printed name Debra Pongetti	2617		Holliday, Jaime M.
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) ✓ attorney or agent of record. Registration number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	<u> </u>	David Typed o (203 Teleph	ignature d M. O'Neill r printed name) 925-9400 none number y 2, 2007
			Date
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the ell Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see belonger		their representat	ive(s) are required.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradeamrk Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

_ forms are submitted.

*Total of



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Atty. Docket:

Harvej et al.

891.0002.U1(US)

Serial No.: 10/769,378

Art Unit: 2617

Filed: January 30, 2004

Examiner: Holliday, Jaime Michele

Customer No.: 29683

Confirmation No.: 7896

Title: Tune Cutting Feature

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW ATTACHMENT

The following is a concise recitation of clear error in the Examiner's rejections

in this application.

1. In the Final Office Action of November 2, 2006 (hereinafter "the

November 2 Final Office Action"), the Examiner rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,496,692 to Shanahan

(hereinafter "Shanahan" or "the Shanahan patent") in view of United States Patent

Application Publication No. US 2003/0083107 A1 to Morishima (hereinafter

"Morishima" or "the Morishima application").

Claim 5 recites, in part, "means for allowing the user to select part of the audio

information, the means comprising a display for showing audio information available

for selection and a cursor, the cursor for selecting a part of the audio information".

FIG. 7 of Morishima does not show a cursor being used to "select part of the audio

information". Rather, FIG. 7 and the accompanying description of Morishima show

alternate melodies being selected. The alternate melodies are not described as being

part of anything greater; rather, they are described as separate entities that are

Commissioner for Patents Application Serial No. 10/769,378 May 2, 2007 Page 2

alternatives and selected as such. This is apparent from paragraphs 54 - 56 of Morishima reproduced here (emphasis added):

"On the other hand, in a case where a short cut function for changing the ring tone or the color of light of the LED for indicating an incoming call is registered in the storage unit 15 as a setting of an operation by use of the sub-operation unit 10, the control unit 14 causes the sub-display unit 8 to display a select screen corresponding to the registered short cut function (Step S3). Here, a setting function for the ring tone is registered. Accordingly, the control unit 14 causes the sub-display unit 8 to display a select screen for selecting 'Melody 1' or 'Melody 2' as shown in the display screen 40 in FIG. 7, for example.

Next, in a case where the number of the registered sounds or melodies which can be set is more than one, the user can scroll the screen by pushing the second operation key 12 or the third operation key 13 of the sub-operation unit 10 to display a select screen for selecting 'Tone 4' or 'Melody 3' as shown in a display screen 30 or 50 in FIG. 7, for example.

If the user pushes the first operation key 11 in a state where a cursor (square portion on the display screens 30 to 50 in FIG. 7) is positioned to a desired sound or melody, the control unit 14 determines a setting of the ring tone which is designated by the cursor."

Notably absent from this portion, or any other portion, of Morishima, is either a description or a suggestion that a part of a melody or ring tone be selected with a cursor.

In other words, Shanahan teaches that parts of audio selections can be edited to be used as, for example, a ring tone, but says nothing about the use of a cursor to perform such editing functions. Morishima teaches that a cursor can be used to select

Commissioner for Patents

Application Serial No. 10/769,378

May 2, 2007

Page 3

alternate melodies to function as a ring tone, but does not teach using a cursor to

perform an editing function by "selecting a part of the displayed audio information"

with the cursor. Accordingly, the combination of Shanahan and Morishima as a

matter of common sense neither describes nor suggests the relevant subject matter of

claim 5.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 5 is patentable over

any of the art of record, whether taken singly or in combination. Applicants therefore

respectfully request that the rejection of claim 5 be withdrawn.

2. In the November 2 Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 15

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Shanahan patent in view of

United States Patent No. 6,771,982 B1 to Toupin (hereinafter "Toupin" or "the

Toupin patent").

Claim 15 recites "means for depicting how much memory remains for storing

an audio signal, wherein the memory remaining is represented by a time duration." It

is not seen how the Toupin patent, which is devoid of any instrumentality for

depicting anything, either describes or suggests "means for depicting how much

memory remains". At column 2, lines 18 – 20. Toupin states (emphasis added):

"In the present invention, the user of a telecommunication device

is presented with an action, an option, or a series of options via audio

messages."

At column 18, lines 55 - 65, Toupin states (emphasis added):

Commissioner for Patents Application Serial No. 10/769,378 May 2, 2007 Page 4

"The Getting Status Information option allows the user to get status information about the companion telecommunicator. The user places the companion telecommunicator in Whisper or Speaking Mode. The user squeezes when the user hears: 'Provide Status Information.' The companion telecommunicator announces the telephone number and time and date and tells the user if the user has messages waiting, then reports current battery level, signal strength, roaming status, memory available in minutes and second, the current billing rate, and balance remaining on card (optional). The user squeezes to advance to the next report."

As is apparent, the memory remaining is not depicted in the method of Toupin but is audibly announced. This emphasis on communicating information aurally in Toupin is not suprising since Toupin states at page 2, line 56 - 65 (emphasis added):

"This interface protocol permits functional manipulation of complex devices, such as personal telecommunication devices without the necessity of the visual feedback via textual or graphic data. Since the sensor functions change with time rather than placement, both visual and tactile demands placed upon the user are dramatically reduced, offering an advantage over the complex array of graphic symbols and the symbolic placement of buttons found on multifunction keypads."

In view of the foregoing, it is not seen how the Toupin patent could possibly either describe or suggest a method for depicting information, when Toupin is particularly concerned with avoiding display of graphical symbols and graphical controls for performing control operations. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 15 is patentable over any of the art of record, whether taken singly or in combination. Applicants therefore request that the rejection of claim 15 be withdrawn.